









United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/910,265 | 07/20/2001 | Earl J. Mac Leod | 21-0134 | 2928 | |
| 75 | 90 03/20/2003 | | | | |
| Kaardal & Associates, PC Attn: Ivar M. Kaardal 3500 South First Ave. Circle - Suite 250 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | MORGAN, EILEEN P | | |
| Sioux Falls, SD | 57105-5802 | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3723 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/20/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/910,265

Applicant(s)

Kaardal

Office Action Summary Examiner

Morgan

Art Unit **3723**



| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|------------------------|---|--|--|
| Period f | or Reply | | | | | |
| THE N - Extensi mailing | A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. | | | | | |
| - If NO p - Failure - Any rej | eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nd will expire SIX (6) f e application to becom | MONTHS from the ABANDO | om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | · | | |
| 1) 💢 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 27, 20</u> | 002 | | · | | |
| 2a) 💢 | This action is FINAL . 2b) \Box This acti | on is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) 🗆 | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposit | tion of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> | | | is/are pending in the application. | | |
| 4 | a) Of the above, claim(s) | | | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| | Claim(s) | | | | | |
| 6) 💢 | Claim(s) 1-8 | | | is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | | | |
| 8) 🗌 | Claims | | | | | |
| | tion Papers | | | | | |
| · · · · | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | a) accepted | d or b) | objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| -, | Applicant may not request that any objection to the di | | | | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | | | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin | ner. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) | 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) 🗆 | ☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have | e been received | d in App | lication No | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea | au (PCT Rule 1 | 7.2(a)). | | | |
| *S | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the | | | | | |
| 14) | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | |
| 15) | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | priority under | 35 U.S. | C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | |
| Attachm | • • | □ | | 440) D Market | | |
| _ | tice of References Cited (PTO-892) | _ | | -413) Paper No(s) | | |
| | 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| 3) ∐ Inf | ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 6) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/910265 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are directed to a set of pads having a plurality of elongate members. The body of the claim refers to "elongate members" but actually should be referring to "each said elongate members" since each "pad" does not have a plurality of elongate members. This gets confusing when the claim recites that a buffer material is between the "elongate members" and object being sanded. In fact, the buffer material is between the sandpaper and the main member, not the elongate member, because the elongate member is the whole thing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bosten-5,743,791.

Application/Control Number: 09/910265 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

Bosten discloses a set of profiled sanding pads (98-128) having mounting means (130) with plates (134,136) for attaching to a sander wherein the sanding pads have a distinctive configuration, such as a v-shape and arcuate shape, and are designed to hold sandpaper thereon.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bosten in view of Ueno.

In regard to claim 5, Bosten does not show a profile having two outwardly angled sides from a medial section. However, Ueno teaches a sanding member with such a configuration. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time invention was made to provide a sanding profile, such as that taught by Ueno, in order to sand differently configured workpieces.

In regard to claims 4,6-8, it would have been an obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art at time invention was made to provide Bosten with differently profiled sanding pads in order to sand differently configured workpieces.

Application/Control Number: 09/910265 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 12-27-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Bosten does not show an elastic material positioned between sandpaper and main member. However, the elongate member of Bosten is made of an elastic material and is between main member (98H, 99H, etc) and sandpaper (or object being sanded)(col. 7, lines 1-5). In regard to Ueno, this reference is not relied upon to teach an elastic material, which is disclosed by primary reference Bosten, but to teach a sanding member with a profile of outwardly angled sides, and was therefore combined with Bosten to teach different profiles. The motivation to use different sander profiles is to accommodate differently shaped workpieces.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Art Unit: 3723

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to E. Morgan whose telephone number is (703) 308-1743.

EILEEN P. MORGAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

EM

March 19, 2003